

August 30, 2019

Paradeep Phosphates Limited: Ratings reaffirmed

Summary of rating action

Instrument*	Previous Rated Amount (Rs. crore)	Current Rated Amount (Rs. crore)	Rating Action
Term Loans	350.0	290.0	[ICRA]A (Stable); reaffirmed
Fund Based Long Term Facilities	1300.0	1365.0	[ICRA]A (Stable); reaffirmed
Non -Fund Based Short Term Facilities	2600.0	2570.0	[ICRA]A1; reaffirmed
Commercial Paper Programme	250.0	250.0	[ICRA]A1; reaffirmed
Total	4500.0	4475.0	

*Instrument details are provided in Annexure-1

Rationale

The ratings reaffirmation factors in the improvement in the profitability of Paradeep phosphate Limited (PPL) over the last couple of years aided by healthy operational performance of the plant resulting in healthy cash generation. The profitability is expected to remain healthy in the current fiscal owing to the improvement in the contribution margins of DAP/NPK fertilisers following the decline in key raw material prices i.e. phosphoric acid and ammonia since Q4 FY2019. With stable contribution margins and interest outgo, the company has been able to maintain stable net profit at Rs. 157.5 crore in FY2019 vis-à-vis Rs. 150.2 crore in FY2018. The working capital intensity of the company has increased towards the end of FY2019 driven by a spike in the inventory levels towards the end of the year resulting in an increase in working capital borrowings. Nevertheless, the company has been liquidating its inventory in the current fiscal and also exploring new territories for supplying its products which will help in reducing the inventory at a quicker pace. As a result of the increase in the working capital borrowings towards the end of FY2019 the coverage and capitalisation metrics of the company have moderated at the end of FY2019 vis-à-vis FY2018. Total Debt/OPBDITA has increased to 6.3x at the end of FY2019 from 3.8x at the end of FY2018 while gearing (Total Debt/Networth) increased to 2.1x at the end of FY2019 from 1.3x at the end of FY2018. The interest coverage ratio however remained unchanged at 3.1x in FY2019 from 3.1x in FY2018. While the credit metrics have moderated in FY2019, the same are expected to improve in FY2020 as the company liquidates inventory and the working capital borrowings decline.

The ratings continue to factor in the company's established position as one of the largest manufacturers of phosphatic and complex fertilisers in India and its leading market position in its marketing territories. The ratings also factor in the substantial gap between domestic production and consumption leading to limited demand-related risks and the company's moderate cost position due to high operating efficiency and part backward integration into the manufacture of phosphoric acid. The company's established relations with overseas raw materials' suppliers due to the latter belonging to the promoter group generally results in smooth availability of raw materials.

The ratings are constrained by the vulnerability of the company's profitability to agro-climatic and regulatory risks, with the former being reflected by muted demand in recent years and the latter being reflected by substantial delays in subsidy receipts from the GoI in recent years leading to high short-term borrowings. The ratings also continue to factor in the company's relatively high segmental concentration towards phosphatic/complex fertilisers, significant exposure to forex fluctuation risks being a major importer and high working capital intensity of its fertiliser business. The performance of P&K fertiliser manufacturers (such as PPL) is relatively more vulnerable to regulatory (subsidy levels) as

well as economic variables (such as supply-demand, commodity prices and currency movements). Further, substantial price increase in the prices of P&K fertilisers post the implementation of NBS have adversely impacted demand due to significant price differential with urea. The subsidy inflow post implementation of Direct Benefit Transfer (DBT) for the fertiliser sector has been affected by initial operational hiccups besides leading to elongation in the working capital cycle. However, GoI and the industry have worked through these issues during FY2019 and the subsidy inflow from the DBT system is expected witness improvement in FY2020 over FY2019.

ICRA also takes note of the Rs. 285 crore capex program of Paradeep Phosphate Limited (PPL) for debottlenecking of its DAP/NPK capacity and installation of a phosphoric acid evaporator to be funded in a debt: equity ratio of 3:1. Under the debottlenecking project, the company aims to increase the overall manufacturing capacity of DAP/NPK from 1.3 MMTPA to 1.7 MMTPA by FY2022 in a phased manner. The total capital outlay for the debottlenecking project is Rs. 220 crore. With increase in DAP/NPK capacity, the requirement for strong phosphoric acid to manufacture DAP will also go up. In order to meet the increased requirement of strong phosphoric acid, PPL will install a 350 TPD evaporator to convert weak phosphoric acid manufactured by the company to strong phosphoric acid at a capital outlay of Rs. 65.0 crore. With the commissioning of this evaporator by the end of FY2021 the total evaporator capacity will increase to 1000 TPD. As phosphoric acid manufactured inhouse is cheaper than imported phosphoric acid, the in-house production of strong phosphoric acid enables the company to earn higher contribution margins on manufactured DAP. Any major cost or time over runs in these project will remain a key rating sensitivity.

Outlook: Stable

PPL's financial performance is expected to remain healthy in the near term driven by healthy contribution from DAP/NPK sales with improvement in the cost structure driven by setting up of evaporator, which is expected to keep the profitability at healthy levels.

The outlook maybe revised to Positive, if the working capital cycle of the company improves materially and/or there is significant improvement in the cash generation from operations, leading to lower working capital borrowings and improvement in the capitalisation and coverage indicators. The outlook maybe revised to Negative in case there is material deterioration in the working capital cycle which could put pressure on the liquidity and capitalisation metrics of the company. Any material delay or cost over runs in the upcoming capex plan or any additional material debt funded capex plans resulting in the deterioration of the credit metrics could result in the outlook being revised to Negative.

Key rating drivers

Credit strengths

Established position as one of the largest manufacturers of DAP and complex fertilisers in India: PPL is one of the largest manufacturers of DAP/NPK fertilisers in India with a total installed capacity of 1.3MMTPA. The plant can switch between production of DAP and NPKs as per demand and contribution levels. With the planned debottlenecking of the DAP/NPK trains the manufacturing capacity will rise further to around 1.7 MMTPA by the end of FY2022.

Leading market position in DAP and NPK complexes in most of its marketing territories: PPL enjoys leading market share in most of its territories like Orissa, West Bengal, Bihar and Uttar Pradesh. PPL also benefits from being part of Adventz Group wherein the marketing function is now unified along with Zuari Agro Chemicals Limited (ZACL) and Mangalore Chemicals & Fertilisers Limited (MCFL) leading to synergies in terms of product placement and market reach. PPL has a network of 2540 dealers and around 25,000-30,000 retailers.

Favourable domestic demand outlook for phosphatic fertilisers due to deficient soil and substantial gap between domestic production and consumption: The ideal N:P: K nutrient balance for Indian soil is 4:2:1 while the actual ratio was 6.7:2.5:1 at the end of FY2018. The nutrient imbalance is a result of overuse of urea and low use of NPK which makes the soil acidic. With schemes like soil health cards being implemented by GoI to determine the soil health and advice to farmers on the right fertilisers to use the demand outlook for P&K fertilisers remains positive. The domestic demand for P&K fertilisers stands at around 25-28 MMT whereas the domestic capacity for P&K fertilisers is about 15.8 MMT resulting in significant portion being met through imports. Thus, the offtake risk remains low.

Efficient cost structure with part backward integration into manufacturing of phosphoric acid: PPL is partially backward integrated into production of phosphoric acid by using rock phosphate and sulphuric acid which provides cost advantage to PPL as phosphoric acid produced is cheaper than imported phosphoric acid. PPL had commissioned a 1.32 MMTPA sulphuric acid plant in FY2016 which has improved the cost structure of the company owing to lower cost of power (produced from steam generated from the sulphuric acid plant) and sulphuric acid. Additionally, with the company planning to install an evaporator to increase the inhouse production of strong phosphoric acid the extent of backward integration will further improve leading to improvement in the contribution margins.

Favourable relations with overseas suppliers results in easy availability of raw materials: One of the promoters of PPL is Office Cherifien des Phosphates (OCP), Morocco which is one of the largest producers of phosphoric acid globally. PPL procures part of its phosphoric acid requirement from OCP. Since OCP is one of its promoters, PPL does not face any challenges in procurement of its key raw material.

Credit challenges

Segmental concentration towards phosphatic / complex fertilisers as compared to some of the other leading fertiliser manufacturers in India: PPL's entire product portfolio is concentrated on phosphatic and complex fertilisers while many other players have a relatively diverse product portfolio. As a result, PPL is more vulnerable to the vagaries of volatility in the P&K fertiliser segment.

Vulnerability of profitability to agro-climatic conditions: Agriculture sector in India remains vulnerable to the vagaries of monsoon as the area under irrigation remains low. The sector being highly regulated also remains vulnerable to changes in the regulations by GoI.

Sharp rise in prices of P&K fertilisers post NBS have adversely impacted demand due to high price differential with urea: Post implementation of Nutrient Based Subsidy (NBS) for P&K fertilisers, the price differential between urea and P&K fertilisers has widened which has adversely impacted demand for P&K fertilisers. The profitability of the company depends on the movement of international prices of raw material as majority of the raw materials are imported. The ability of the company to pass on any increase in raw material prices to end-consumers through revision of retail prices also plays a crucial role in protecting the profitability of the company. As has been seen the industry has passed on the impact of change in raw material prices as well currency fluctuations albeit with a bit of lag. Thus, at some points the industry may witness erosion of margins for short span of time in a scenario of rising raw material prices which is corrected after a lag.

Being a substantial importer, exposed to risk of currency fluctuations, although mitigated by firm hedging policy: PPL imports majority of its raw material requirements including rock phosphate, ammonia, Muriate of Potash (MOP) and part of phosphoric acid for production of DAP/NPK fertiliser which exposes it to foreign exchange risks. The risk is however partly mitigated by the nearly complete hedging of the foreign exchange exposure the company undertakes.

Working capital requirements have remained high in recent years due to delays in subsidy receipts from the GoI: As the subsidy receivables from GoI continue to remain outstanding for as long as 4-5 months working capital borrowings to fund the receivables keep the borrowing levels elevated. The high receivable days also lead to high working capital intensity for the business and high interest costs for the company impacting profitability. PPL has made efforts towards improving its working capital cycle which is reflected in the improvement in collection efficiency from trade debtors. PPL also gets 60-90-day interest free credit period from one of its parent OCP for purchase of phosphoric acid and rock phosphate which has also helped in reducing the working capital intensity for the company.

Moderate return and capitalisation indicators owing to high working capital intensity of operations: While PPL has witnessed improvement in its operating profit over the last few years, high interest costs continue to be a drain on the profitability of the company. Owing to delay in subsidy receipts from the GoI, the operations continue to remain capital intensive with high working capital borrowings. As a result, the capital structure of the company remains moderate.

Liquidity Position:

The liquidity position of the company is aided by steady cash accruals from operations and moderate debt repayments in the near term. The cash accruals of the company are expected to be in the range of Rs. 190 to 240 crore annually over the next three years vis-à-vis debt repayments of Rs. 60-80 crores. Additionally, the company will have to incur about Rs. 70-80 crore per annum for its capex program. Thus, the overall liquidity position of the company is expected to remain healthy. The funding provided by banking channels which take comfort from the backing of GoI for the subsidy receivables also supports the liquidity position for the company.

Analytical approach:

Analytical Approach	Comments
Applicable Rating Methodologies	Corporate Credit Rating Methodology Rating Methodology for entities in the fertiliser industry
Parent/Group Support	NA
Consolidation / Standalone	The ratings are based on standalone financials of the company

About the company:

Paradeep Phosphates Limited (PPL) was incorporated in 1981 as a joint venture between the Government of India (GoI) and the Republic of Nauru to set up facilities for the manufacture of di-ammonium phosphate (DAP) at Paradeep, Orissa. In 1993, the Republic of Nauru disinvested its equity stake to the GoI and PPL became a public sector enterprise wholly owned by the GoI. In February 2002, GoI disinvested 74% of PPL's equity in favour of Zuari Maroc Phosphates Private Limited (ZMPPL), a 50:50 joint venture between Zuari Industries Limited (ZIL, a K.K. Birla Group company) and Maroc Phosphores, Morocco (part of OCP Group, Morocco). ZIL was demerged into Zuari Agro Chemicals Limited (ZACL, earlier Zuari Holdings Limited, rated [ICRA]D and Zuari Global Limited w.e.f. July 1, 2012. Currently, ZMPPL holds 80.45% stake in PPL, while the remaining 19.55% is held by the GoI. As on September 30, 2002, the company's net worth had turned negative due to continuing losses and it was referred to BIFR in February 2003. After several rounds of hearings involving various stakeholders, the BIFR approved a final restructuring scheme in October 2008. The company formally exited BIFR in August 2011.

PPL is currently among the top few integrated DAP plants in India with an installed annual capacity of 0.72 million metric tonnes per annum (MMTPA) of DAP / NPK complex fertilisers (effective capacity of 1.3 MMTPA), 0.30 MMTPA of

phosphoric acid and 1.32 MMTPA of sulphuric acid. Actual production of DAP / NPK fertilisers has remained higher than the installed capacity over the past few years due to initiation of several operational improvement and debottlenecking projects by the management. The company is almost fully reliant on imported raw materials (rock phosphate, phosphoric acid, ammonia, sulphur, potash, etc.) for its operations and has a dedicated jetty at the Paradeep Port for these imports.

Key financial indicators (audited)

	FY2018	FY2019
Operating Income (Rs. crore)	3,788.0	4,357.9
PAT (Rs. crore)	150.2	157.5
OPBDIT/OI (%)	12.9%	11.3%
RoCE (%)	11.5%	11.0%
Total Debt/TNW (times)	1,395.2	1,481.2
Total Debt/OPBDIT (times)	3.8	6.3
Interest coverage (times)	3.1	3.1

Status of non-cooperation with previous CRA: Not applicable

Any other information: None

Rating history for last three years:

Instrument	Type	Current Rating (FY2020)		Chronology of Rating History for the Past 3 Years						
		Amount Rated (Rs. crore)	Amount Outstanding (Rs. crore)	Date & Rating	Date & Rating in FY2019		Date & Rating in FY2018		Date & Rating in FY2017	
				August 2019	September 2018	August 2018	December 2017	August 2017	December 2016	September 2016
1	Term Loan	290.0	290.0	[ICRA] A (Stable)	[ICRA] A (Stable)	[ICRA] A (Stable)	[ICRA] BB B+ (Positive)	-	-	-
2	Fund Based Facilities	1365.0	-	[ICRA] A (Stable)	[ICRA] A (Stable)	[ICRA] A (Stable)	[ICRA] BB B+ (Positive)	[ICRA] BB B+ (Positive)	[ICRA] BBB+ (Negative)	[ICRA] BBB+ (Stable)
3	Non-fund Based Facilities	2570.0	-	[ICRA] A1	[ICRA] A1	[ICRA] A1	[ICRA] A2+	[ICRA] A2+	[ICRA] A2+	[ICRA] A2+
4	Commercial Paper	250.0	-	[ICRA] A1	[ICRA] A1	-	-	-	-	-

Complexity level of the rated instrument:

ICRA has classified various instruments based on their complexity as "Simple", "Complex" and "Highly Complex". The classification of instruments according to their complexity levels is available on the website [click here](#)

Annexure-1: Instrument Details

ISIN No	Instrument Name	Date of Issuance / Sanction	Coupon Rate	Maturity Date	Amount Rated (Rs. crore)	Current Rating and Outlook
-	Term Loan	December 2018	-	December 2023	190.0	[ICRA]A (Stable)
-	Term Loan	May 2016	-	April 2021	50.0	[ICRA]A (Stable)
-	Term Loan	April 2016	-	April 2021	30.0	[ICRA]A (Stable)
-	Term Loan	April 2016	-	May 2021	20.0	[ICRA]A (Stable)
-	Fund based long term	-	-	-	1365.0	[ICRA]A (Stable)
-	Non-fund based short term	-	-	-	2570.0	[ICRA]A1
-	Commercial Paper	-	-	7-365 days	250.0	[ICRA]A1

Source: Paradeep Phosphate Limited.

Annexure-2: List of entities considered for consolidated analysis

Company Name	Ownership	Consolidation Approach
NA		

ANALYST CONTACTS

K Ravichandran

+91 44 4596 4301

ravichandran@icraindia.com

Prashant Vasisht

+91 124 4545 322

prashant.vasisht@icraindia.com

Varun Gogia

+91 124 4545 373

varun.gogia@icraindia.com

RELATIONSHIP CONTACT

L Shivakumar

+91 22 6114 3406

shivakumar@icraindia.com

MEDIA AND PUBLIC RELATIONS CONTACT

Ms. Naznin Prodhani

Tel: +91 124 4545 860

communications@icraindia.com

Helpline for business queries:

+91-9354738909 (open Monday to Friday, from 9:30 am to 6 pm)

info@icraindia.com

About ICRA Limited:

ICRA Limited was set up in 1991 by leading financial/investment institutions, commercial banks and financial services companies as an independent and professional investment Information and Credit Rating Agency.

Today, ICRA and its subsidiaries together form the ICRA Group of Companies (Group ICRA). ICRA is a Public Limited Company, with its shares listed on the Bombay Stock Exchange and the National Stock Exchange. The international Credit Rating Agency Moody's Investors Service is ICRA's largest shareholder.

For more information, visit www.icra.in

ICRA Limited

Corporate Office

Building No. 8, 2nd Floor, Tower A; DLF Cyber City, Phase II; Gurgaon 122 002

Tel: +91 124 4545300

Email: info@icraindia.com

Website: www.icra.in

Registered Office

1105, Kailash Building, 11th Floor; 26 Kasturba Gandhi Marg; New Delhi 110001

Tel: +91 11 23357940-50

Branches

Mumbai + (91 22) 24331046/53/62/74/86/87
Chennai + (91 44) 2434 0043/9659/8080, 2433 0724/ 3293/3294,
Kolkata + (91 33) 2287 8839 /2287 6617/ 2283 1411/ 2280 0008,
Bangalore + (91 80) 2559 7401/4049
Ahmedabad+ (91 79) 2658 4924/5049/2008
Hyderabad + (91 40) 2373 5061/7251
Pune + (91 20) 2556 0194/ 6606 9999

© Copyright, 2019 ICRA Limited. All Rights Reserved.

Contents may be used freely with due acknowledgement to ICRA.

ICRA ratings should not be treated as recommendation to buy, sell or hold the rated debt instruments. ICRA ratings are subject to a process of surveillance, which may lead to revision in ratings. An ICRA rating is a symbolic indicator of ICRA's current opinion on the relative capability of the issuer concerned to timely service debts and obligations, with reference to the instrument rated. Please visit our website www.icra.in or contact any ICRA office for the latest information on ICRA ratings outstanding. All information contained herein has been obtained by ICRA from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable, including the rated issuer. ICRA however has not conducted any audit of the rated issuer or of the information provided by it. While reasonable care has been taken to ensure that the information herein is true, such information is provided 'as is' without any warranty of any kind, and ICRA in particular, makes no representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, timeliness or completeness of any such information. Also, ICRA or any of its group companies may have provided services other than rating to the issuer rated. All information contained herein must be construed solely as statements of opinion, and ICRA shall not be liable for any losses incurred by users from any use of this publication or its contents